

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CIVIL ACTION NO. 04-11600- RGS

JAMES GILLIAM, Individually And On Behalf Of
All Others Similarly Situated

v.

FIDELITY MANAGEMENT AND RESEARCH COMPANY, et al.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 04-11642- RGS

BOGATIN FAMILY TRUST, Individually And On Behalf Of
All Others Similarly Situated

v.

FIDELITY MANAGEMENT AND RESEARCH COMPANY, et al.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 04-11709- RGS

GHASSAN J. AWALI, et al., Individually And On Behalf Of
All Others Similarly Situated

v.

FIDELITY MANAGEMENT AND RESEARCH COMPANY, et al.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 04-11735- RGS

WILLIAM S. GROESCHEL, Individually And On Behalf Of
All Others Similarly Situated

v.

FIDELITY MANAGEMENT AND RESEARCH COMPANY, et al.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 04-11812- RGS

DAVID O. FALLERT, Individually And On Behalf Of
All Others Similarly Situated

v.

FIDELITY MANAGEMENT AND RESEARCH COMPANY, et al.

ORDER OF RECUSAL

November 8, 2004

STEARNS, D.J.

The court appreciates the candid responses of the parties and agrees with their view that recusal is mandated by 28 U.S.C. § 455(b)(4). The only exception might be provided by the “rule of necessity,” but its applicability is doubtful (that is, the court is fairly confident that not every judge in this district has funds invested with Fidelity).¹ Consequently, I recuse myself and order the Clerk to redraw the above-captioned cases to another judge of the court.

SO ORDERED.

/s/ Richard G. Stearns

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹Should this prove not to be true, the court is willing to preside over the case.